The Nez Perce Tribe's Enhanced Conservation Enforcement for Fish, Wildlife, and Watersheds of the Nez Perce

Monitoring and Evaluation

Annual Report 2000 - 2001





This Document should be cited as follows:

Vigg, Steven, Adam Villavicencio, "The Nez Perce Tribe's Enhanced Conservation Enforcement for Fish, Wildlife, and Watersheds of the Nez Perce; Monitoring and Evaluation", 2000-2001 Annual Report, Project No. 200005500, 34 electronic pages, (BPA Report DOE/BP-00004501-1)

Bonneville Power Administration P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208

This report was funded by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), U.S. Department of Energy, as part of BPA's program to protect, mitigate, and enhance fish and wildlife affected by the development and operation of hydroelectric facilities on the Columbia River and its tributaries. The views in this report are the author's and do not necessarily represent the views of BPA.

The Nez Perce Tribe's Enhanced Conservation Enforcement for Fish, Wildlife, and Watersheds of the Nez Perce

Annual M&E Report for the FY2000 Performance Period – April 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001

Contract Number: **00004501** BPA project number: **2000-055-00**

Submitted to:

Ken Kirkman – KEWN-4
Contracting Officer's Technical Representative
Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, Oregon 97208

Submitted by:

Chief Adam Villavicencio, Enforcement Manager Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Law Enforcement Beavergrade Road & Main P.O. Box 365 Lapwai, Idaho 83540

Prepared by:
Steven Vigg, M&E Consultant
Steven Vigg & Company
42418 East Larch Mountain Road
Corbett, Oregon 97019

November 18, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PA	\G E
TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
LIST OF TABLES	II
LIST OF FIGURES	.III
SECTION 1. SIGNIFICANT RESULTS OR ACTIVITIES	1
ADMINISTRATION	1
RESOURCE PROTECTION	
Annual Trends – Baseline Period 1996-2000	
FY2000 Performance Period – April 2000 through March 2001 TRAINING	
Public Outreach	
SECTION 2. LOGISTICAL CONSTRAINTS AND ADJUSTMENTS	. 18
SECTION 3. PLANNED ACTIVITIES	. 19
Species	. 20
Ĉlearwater River	
SECTION 4. NON EXPENDABLE PROPERTY	. 21
APPENDICES	. 22
APPENDIX 1. FY2000 PERFORMANCE PERIOD NPT CONSERVATION LAW ENFORCEMENT	
STATISTICS, APRIL 2000 - MARCH 2001	. 22
APPENDIX 1. FY2000 PERFORMANCE PERIOD NPT CONSERVATION LAW ENFORCEMENT	
STATISTICS, APRIL 2000 - MARCH 2001. (CONTINUED)	. 23
APPENDIX 2. FISHING SEASONS ENFORCED BY THE NEZ PERCE TRIBAL CONSERVATION ENFORCEMENT DEPARTMENT, APRIL 2000 - MARCH 2001.	24
Appendix Table 2.1. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation	. 24
Enforcement Department, Quarter 2: April 1 through June 31, 2000	. 24
Appendix Table 2.2. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation	
Enforcement Department, Quarter 3: July-September 2000	. 25
Appendix Table 2.3. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation	•
Enforcement Department, Quarter 4: October-December 2000	
Clearwater River	. 20
Enforcement Department, January-March 2001	26
APPENDIX 3. TRAINING COURSES AND ACADEMIES FOR NEZ PERCE TRIBAL CONSERVATION	0
Enforcement personnel, January 2000 - March 2001	
Training Starting in Quarter 1 (January-March) – Year 2000	
Training Starting in Quarter 2 (April-June) – Year 2000	. 27

Training Starting in Quarter 3 (July-September) – Year 2000	27
Training Starting in Quarter 4 (October-December) – Year 2000	
Training Starting in Quarter 1 (January-March) – Year 2001	
List of Tables Pas	τΔ.
TABLE 1. ADMINISTRATIVE TIME SPENT BY NPT ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL FOR QUARTER 1,	30
2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUNDED FY2000 PERFORMANCE PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 2000	
THROUGH MARCH 2001.	2
TABLE 2. PRIORITY AREAS AND FISH SPECIES IDENTIFIED FOR NPT CONSERVATION ENFORCEM	
PATROLS.	
TABLE 3. NPT CONSERVATION ENFORCEMENT CONTACT STATISTICS (TRIBAL VERSUS NON-	
TRIBAL) FOR QUARTER 1, 2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUNDED FY2000 PERFORMANCE	
PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001.	
TABLE 4. NPT CONSERVATION LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS TAKEN (CITATIONS, WARNINGS A	
CHECKS) FOR QUARTER 1, 2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUNDED FY2000 PERFORMANCE	Ξ
PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001.	8
TABLE 5. MEAN COMPLIANCE RATES CALCULATED FROM NPT CONSERVATION LAW	
ENFORCEMENT ACTION STATISTICS (VIOLATIONS AND WARNINGS) AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL	
CONTACTS FOR QUARTER 1, 2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUNDED FY2000	
PERFORMANCE PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001.	
TABLE 6. VEHICLE PATROL EFFORT BY NPT CONSERVATION ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS (HOURS)	
ALLOCATED TO SPECIFIC AREAS DURING QUARTER 1, 2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUND	
FY 2000 PERFORMANCE PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001	12
TABLE 7. BOAT PATROL EFFORT BY NPT CONSERVATION ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS (HOURS)	
ALLOCATED TO SPECIFIC AREAS DURING QUARTER 1, 2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUND	
FY 2000 PERFORMANCE PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001	
2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUNDED FY2000 PERFORMANCE PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 2000	. 1,
THROUGH MARCH 2001.	15
TABLE 9. NPT CONSERVATION LAW ENFORCEMENT STATISTICS (SECONDARY CATEGORIES) FO	
QUARTER 1, 2000 COMPARED TO THE BPA-FUNDED FY2000 PERFORMANCE PERIOD, I.E.,	K
APRIL 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001	15
TABLE 10. PUBLIC INFORMATION AND OUTREACH ACTIVITIES FOR NEZ PERCE TRIBAL FISHERI	
Conservation Enforcement, FY2000 (April 2000 - March 2001)	
TABLE 11. NPT FISHERIES PLANNED OR PROJECTED FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2001 PERFORMANCE	
PERIOD, I.E., APRIL 1, 2001 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2002; SEASONS PROJECTED FOR YEAR 2	
ARE PROVISIONAL, BASED ON ACTUAL SEASONS THAT OCCURRED DURING THE PREVIOUS	
YEAR.	20

List of Figures

PAGE
FIGURE 1. INCREASING DEMAND FOR NPT CONSERVATION ENFORCEMENT, 1996-2000 3
FIGURE 2. CONSERVATION LAW ENFORCEMENT CONTACTS WITH TRIBAL AND NON-TRIBAL
RESOURCE USERS, CY 1996 TO 2000.
FIGURE 3. HUNTING AND FISHING VIOLATIONS HANDLED BY NPT CONSERVATION OFFICERS, CY
1996 то 2000 5
FIGURE 4. ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS (CHECKS, WARNINGS & CITATIONS) MADE BY NPT
CONSERVATION OFFICERS, SUMMARIZED BY QUARTER JANUARY 2000 THROUGH MARCH
2001
FIGURE 5. MONTHLY COMPLIANCE RATES CALCULATED FROM NPT CONSERVATION LAW
ENFORCEMENT VIOLATIONS AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL ENFORCEMENT CONTACTS THE PERIOD
JANUARY 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001.
FIGURE 6. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF RESOURCE VIOLATIONS COMPARED WITH THE TOTAL NUMBER
OF CASES DOCUMENTED BY NPT OFFICERS APRIL 2000 THROUGH MARCH 2001
FIGURE 7. NPT VEHICLE PATROL STATISTICS, BY AREA AND QUARTER APRIL 2000 - MARCH
200111
FIGURE 8. NPT BOAT PATROL STATISTICS, BY AREA AND QUARTER APRIL 2000 - MARCH 2001.
FIGURE 9. NPT PATROL EFFORT (BOAT+VEHICLE HOURS) COMPARED TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF
CONTACTS BETWEEN ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND RESOURCE USERS JANUARY 2000 -
March 2001

SECTION 1. Significant Results or Activities

This section summarizes significant results and activities during the Fiscal Year (FY) 2000 performance period -- April 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001.

Administration

In March 2000, when Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) funds were approved for enforcement positions, six officers were added to the BPA roster. These positions were originally hired and received initial training in 1999 using interim Nez Perce Tribe (NPT) funds from Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) fisheries and habitat contracts. These new positions would not have continued to be funded in year 2000 were it not for a recommendation by the Columbia Basin Fish & Wildlife Authority and the Northwest Power Planning Council – followed by a commitment by BPA to provide FY2000 funding.

A radio station license was approved from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Conservation and Forestry Association. The NPT Conservation Enforcement transmitter was procured, and installed near Cottonwood, Idaho with a control point at Lapwai, Idaho.

Coordination continued with the NPT Fisheries Department and the Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E) subcontractor, Steven Vigg & Company. The NPT administrative and supervisory staff is developing methods and protocols to facilitate timely compilation of daily officer time logs, and subsequent transfer of this information to summary data tables needed for M&E. During January 2001, we began breaking out officer and dispatcher time spent on (a) preparing reports and compiling data, and (b) training – from other office and administrative duties (Table 1). We also re-examined data for previous quarters, and subdivided the office and administrative category into the more specific tasks. In the past, a relatively large proportion of total officer time was recorded under a general "administrative" category that did not accurately reflect time spent on reporting (needed for M&E) and on time spent on training (needed for enforcement effectiveness).

Table 1. Administrative time spent by NPT enforcement personnel for Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Category	Quarter 1 January- March 2000	Quarter 2 April- June 2000	Quarter 3 July- September 2000	Quarter 4 October- December 2000	Quarter 1 January- March 2001	FY 2000 TOTAL (Q2-2000 to Q1-2001)
Reports/Data Entry		190.0	252.0	244.0	221.0	907.0
Training		190.0	166.5	320.0	55.9	732.4
Office/Admin.	276.3	164.0	99.0	205.0	38.9	506.9
Total	276.3	544.0	517.5	769.0	315.8	2146.3

Resource Protection

Target areas and species for NPT Conservation Enforcement efforts are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Priority areas and fish species identified for NPT Conservation Enforcement patrols.

Fish Species	Primary Patrol Areas
Steelhead	Tucannon, mainstem Snake, Grande Ronde, Imnaha,
	Salmon and the Clearwater Rivers
Spring chinook salmon	Clearwater River, Rapid River, and Lookingglass Creek
Summer chinook salmon	South Fork Salmon River and Imnaha River
Coho salmon	Clearwater River
Sturgeon	Snake River mainstem
Resident fish	Clearwater River & trout ponds

Annual Trends – Baseline Period 1996-2000

Since 1996 an increased demand for NPT conservation enforcement has occurred; calls to duty (case load) steadily increased – peaking in 1999 (Figure 1). During that same time, the ability of NPT enforcement to respond to fish, wildlife and habitat violations was diminished by BPA funding cuts.




Figure 1. Increasing demand for NPT Conservation Enforcement, 1996-2000.

Although, NPT conservation enforcement funding and field effort declined from 1997 to 1999, the need for law enforcement concurrently doubled:

- •Total calls to duty increasing (100% increase in case load from 1996 to 1999), and
- •Increasing numbers of trespass violations on tribal lands associated with natural resource use.

Since resumption of BPA funding in March 2000, NPT resource enforcement effort has been greatly enhanced. Conservation law enforcement contacts ranged from 111 to 246 per year during 1996-98, and increased dramatically to over one thousand during year 2000 (Figure 2). Although baseline levels of enforcement continued during 1999 when BPA funds were cut, data collection was incomplete due to limited resources. During times of restricted budgets, enforcement generally takes on a reactive mode – responding only to urgent cases – and record keeping (needed for M&E) is given lower priority. Tribal contacts (Figure 1) and detection of fishery related violations (Figure 2) both increased during calendar year 2000




Figure 2. Conservation law enforcement contacts with Tribal and non-tribal resource users, CY 1996 to 2000.

Enforcement of fish & wildlife violations peaked in 1997 when enhanced BPA funding first took effect. However, during 1998-99 the number of resource violations handled by NPT enforcement officers declined – especially for fishery violations. This was probably due to less effort in the field from 1998 to the first quarter of year 2000 – corresponding to cuts in BPA funding. However, starting in March of 2000 BPA funding of NPT conservation enforcement

was resumed, along with an enhanced focus on sensitive fish stocks. Apparently as a result of increased effort, the number of resource violations detected substantially rebounded during calendar year 2000 (Figure 3). Additional years of monitoring & evaluation will be needed to clarify the probable cause-effect relationships underlying these trends.




Figure 3. Hunting and fishing violations handled by NPT Conservation Officers, CY 1996 to 2000.

FY2000 Performance Period – April 2000 through March 2001

Specific enforcement statistics for the FY 2000 performance period are summarized by quarter in Tables 3-8, and the complete array of law enforcement statistics are listed by month, January 2000 to March 2001, in Appendix 1. Fishing seasons and closures covered by NPT Conservation Enforcement for the period April 2000 through March 2001 are tabulated in Appendix 2.

From April 2000 to March 2001, NPT officers made a total of 1,198 contacts with resource users (Table 3). The majority of these enforcement contacts – 890 or 74% – were made with Nez Perce Tribal Members. The time period of maximum conservation enforcement contacts during FY 2000 was April-June – corresponding to major fishing seasons in tributary regions.

Table 3. NPT conservation enforcement contact statistics (tribal versus non-tribal) for Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Contact Category (number)	Quarter 1 January- March 2000	Quarter 2 April- June 2000	Quarter 3 July- September 2000	Quarter 4 October- December 2000	Quarter 1 January- March 2001	FY 2000 TOTAL (Q2-2000 to Q1-2001)
Tribal Contacts	71	567	107	64	152	890
Non-Tribal Contacts	31	81	49	55	123	308
Total Contacts	102	648	156	119	275	1,198

The overall level of NPT enforcement actions during the period enhanced by FY2000 BPA funding (April 2000 to March 2001) was substantially higher than that of the preceding quarter (Figure 4). The temporal pattern of violations and warnings issued by NPT officers was similar to the contact statistics described in the previous section, i.e., the time period of maximum enforcement actions was during the April-June 2000 corresponding to fishing seasons in the Mountain Snake Province. From April 2000 to March 2001, NPT officers made 361 enforcement actions during the FY2000 performance period – including a total of 89 citations and 95 warnings (Table 4). Officers also ran 62 vehicle checks and 123 identification checks during the FY2000 performance period.




Figure 4. Enforcement actions (checks, warnings & citations) made by NPT Conservation Officers, summarized by quarter -- January 2000 through March 2001.

Table 4. NPT conservation law enforcement actions taken (citations, warnings and checks) for Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Citations, Warnings & Checks (number)	Quarter 1 January- March 2000	Quarter 2 April- June 2000	Quarter 3 July- September 2000	Quarter 4 October- December 2000	Quarter 1 January- March 2001	FY 2000 TOTAL (Q2-2000 to Q1-2001)
Citations Issued	23	35	12	26	16	89
Verbal Warnings	8	15	14	11	28	76
Written Warnings	0	0	0	10	9	19
Vehicle Checks	1	13	17	18	14	62
ID Checks	7	60	23	6	34	123
Total	39	123	66	71	101	361

We calculated compliance rates¹ from NPT conservation law enforcement action statistics (violations and/or warnings) as a percent of total contacts, by quarter, for the period April 2000 through March 2001 (Table 5). The average violation compliance rate was 73.3 percent for Quarter 1-2000, and improved to an average of 87.2 percent for the performance period, April 2000 through March 2001.

Table 5. Mean compliance rates calculated from NPT conservation law enforcement action statistics (violations and warnings) as a percent of total contacts -- for Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Average Compliance Rate (CR)	FY2000 Performance Period						
and Sample Size (n)	Q1-2000	Q2-2000	Q3-2000	Q4-2000	Q1-2001	Total	
C.R. Based on Violations only:	73.3%	92.9%	82.1%	79.7%	94.2%	87.2%	
C.R. Based on Violations + Warnings:	65.4%	89.7%	62.7%	64.1%	79.3%	74.0%	
Total Contacts (n):	102	648	156	119	275	1,198	

The monthly time-series in violation compliance rates is illustrated in Figure 5. Compliance rate was greater than 90% during 8 of the 15 the months of record (53% of the time); and greater than

¹ Two compliance rates were calculated for a specified time period: (1) violations/total contacts, and (2) violations+warnings/total contacts – expressed as a percentage. The compliance rate based on violations only is probably the more consistent statistic for temporal comparisons because it excludes the infractions that are considered to be in the "gray area", have extenuating circumstances, or are less damaging to the resource – based on the conservation officers' subjective judgment.




Figure 5. Monthly compliance rates calculated from NPT conservation law enforcement violations as a percent of total enforcement contacts -- the period, January 2000 through March 2001.

70 percent compliance was achieved during 13 months (87% of the time). It is noteworthy that the two months of lowest compliance (January and September 2000) were based on a relatively low number of enforcement contacts (i.e., low sample size). The compliance rate statistic may be unreliable during periods of low sample size (e.g., less than 25 enforcement contacts) since the detection or non-detection of just a few violations would substantially change the rate.

On a temporal basis, the total number of violations is generally correlated with the total number of calls to duty (cases) documented by NPT enforcement personnel each month. The time series comparison of these two output statistics during the FY2000 performance period is presented in Figure 6. For the period April 2000 through March 2001, 89 violations were documented – out of 149 total cases initiated, i.e., a validity rate of about 60 percent.




Figure 6. The total number of resource violations compared with the total number of cases documented by NPT officers -- April 2000 through March 2001.

A substantial proportion of cases are developed from telephone tips from the public taken by NPT enforcement dispatchers. Cases can also be initiated by "discovery" – i.e., field officers finding physical evidence or direct observation of resource violations. Regardless of the origin of a case that is opened by enforcement personnel, it will ultimately be determined by the investigating officer to be either unfounded or to be a valid violation.

NPT conservation enforcement patrols areas are delineated according to the following watersheds: Snake River below Lewiston (1K); Clearwater River (1L); Snake River above Lewiston (1M); Salmon River (1N); Grande Ronde River (1P); and Imnaha River (1Q). These areas and codes are consistent with the Columbia Basin Law Enforcement Council database compiled by the National Marines Fisheries Service during 1992-1997.

During the FY2000 performance period, about 107 hours were spent in boat patrols and 2807 hours in vehicle patrols. From April 2000 through March, 69 percent of the total vehicle patrol hours were spent in the Clearwater River and 20 percent in the Salmon River (Figure 7; Table 6).




Figure 7. NPT vehicle patrol statistics, by area and quarter -- April 2000 - March 2001.

About 10.6 percent of the vehicle patrol time was allocated to the Snake River -8.0 percent above Lewiston and 2.6 percent below Lewiston. Less than 1 percent of the patrol time was spent in the Grande Ronde, Imnaha, and other river basins.

Table 6. Vehicle patrol effort by NPT conservation enforcement officers (hours) allocated to specific areas during Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY 2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Patrol Area	Quarter 1 January- March 2000	Quarter 2 April- June 2000	Quarter 3 July- September 2000	Quarter 4 October- December 2000	Quarter 1 January- March 2001	FY 2000 TOTAL (Q2-2000 to Q1-2001)
Snake River below Lewiston	0.00	19.00	26.75	4.50	21.50	71.75
Clearwater River	359.00	386.35	404.25	556.50	587.00	1,934.10
Snake River above Lewiston	13.50	142.75	26.25	22.00	34.00	225.00
Salmon River	0.00	211.00	191.15	8.00	142.00	552.15
Grande Ronde River	2.00	6.00	6.50	4.00	0.00	16.50
Imnaha River and other areas	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	5.75	7.75
Total	374.50	765.10	654.90	597.00	790.25	2,807.25

Of the boat patrol time 52.8 percent was on the Clearwater River, 23.7 percent on the Snake River and 11.7 percent each on the Salmon and Grand Ronde Rivers (Table 7; Figure 8). Most of the boat patrol effort occurred during Quarters 2 and 3 (April-September) 2000; no boat patrols occurred from October 2000 to March 2001.

Table 7. Boat patrol effort by NPT conservation enforcement officers (hours) allocated to specific areas during Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY 2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Patrol Area	Quarter 1 January- March 2000	Quarter 2 April- June 2000	Quarter 3 July- September 2000	Quarter 4 October- December 2000	Quarter 1 January- March 2001	FY 2000 TOTAL (Q2-2000 to Q1-2001)
Snake River below Lewiston	0.00	11.50	8.75	0.00	0.00	20.25
Clearwater River	0.00	40.75	15.50	0.00	0.00	56.25
Snake River above Lewiston	2.00	4.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	5.00
Salmon River	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50
Grande Ronde River	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50
Imnaha River and other areas	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	2.00	56.25	50.25	0.00	0.00	106.50




Figure 8. NPT boat patrol statistics, by area and quarter -- April 2000 - March 2001.

Vehicle patrols comprised about 95% of the 2,963 total patrol hours (Table 8). Boat patrols comprised 3.5% of the patrol effort; while investigations and surveillance comprised only 1.5 and 0.1 percent of total officer field time, respectively.

Table 8. NPT conservation law enforcement patrol effort statistics for Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Patrol Effort	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Quarter 1	FY 2000
Category (hours)	January- March 2000	April- June 2000	July- September 2000	October- December 2000	January- March 2001	TOTAL (Q2-2000 to Q1-2001)
Vehicle Patrol	374.5	765.1	654.9	597.0	790.3	2,807.3
Boat Patrol	2.0	56.3	50.3	0.0	0.0	106.5
Surveillance	0.0	0.5	2.5	0.5	0.0	3.5
Investigation	62.8	27.3	13.0	1.5	4.0	45.8
Total Patrol Effort (hours)	439.3	849.1	720.7	599.0	794.3	2,963.0

Due to restricted budgets from 1998 to the first quarter of 2000, cooperative enforcement actions with other entities – Inter-agency assists, Inter-Tribal assists, and public assists – were negligible. Starting in April 2000, an effort re-initiated to enhance inter-agency cooperation and assistance. During the FY 2000 performance period about 117 hours of NPT conservation officer time was allocated to cooperative enforcement with other entities and the public (Table 9).

Table 9. NPT conservation law enforcement statistics (secondary categories) for Quarter 1, 2000 compared to the BPA-funded FY2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 through March 2001.

Secondary Category	Quarter 1 January- March 2000	Quarter 2 April- June 2000	Quarter 3 July- September 2000	Quarter 4 October- December 2000	Quarter 1 January- March 2001	FY 2000 TOTAL (Q2-2000 to Q1-2001)
Inter-Agency	0.0	2.5	18.0	7.0	1.0	28.5
Commercial	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	4.0
Ceremonial	0.0	6.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	12.0
Subsistence	0.0	42.8	11.0	0.5	0.0	54.3
Public Assist	0.0	6.0	2.5	3.0	6.5	18.0
Total	0.0	59.3	36.5	11.5	9.5	116.8

In general total NPT officer patrol effort (boat + vehicle hours) is correlated with the total number of contacts between enforcement officers and all resource users (tribal + non-tribal). The time series comparison of these two output statistics during the FY2000 performance period is presented in Figure 9.




Figure 9. NPT patrol effort (boat+vehicle hours) compared to the total number of contacts between enforcement officers and resource users -- January 2000 - March 2001.

Training

During the FY2000 performance period, conservation enforcement training consisted of federal academies and on-the-job training leading to conservation officer certification by the Nez Perce Tribe:

- Indian Police Academy Bureau of Indian Affairs, Artesia, New Mexico
- Basic Land Management Academy National Marine Fisheries Service, Glynco, Georgia
- Field Recruit training (officer ride along) Lapwai, Idaho
- Officer Certification -- Federal Land Management Training Academy²

In addition to basic police training, specialized training for NPT conservation officers includes resource management fundamentals, fish & wildlife biology, Endangered Species Act processes, Federal and State fish & wildlife regulations, NPT fish & Wildlife code, manual & computerized record keeping, physical fitness, hand to hand combat, emergency medical assistance, and search & rescue. In the Quarter 2-2000 M&E progress report, we documented the professional training completed by NPT enforcement personnel for 1996-1999, and the first quarter of year 2000 (refer to the M&E web site www.Eco-Law.net). A list of professional training completed by NPT enforcement personnel during the performance period for FY2000 (April 2000-March 2001) is presented in Appendix 2.

Public Outreach

A list of public outreach activities during FY2000 performance period – at which NPT enforcement personnel participated – is presented in Table 10. During these conferences, enforcement officers and dispatchers provided information on fish & wildlife conservation and promoted the goals and objectives of the NPT conservation enforcement department.

-

Performance Period: April 2000 - March 2001

² The Federal Land Management Training Academy is a multi-agency facility for training of federal conservation law enforcement personnel stationed throughout the United States; the National Marine Fisheries Service sponsors the Nez Perce Tribal officers.

Conservation Enforcement

Table 10. Public information and outreach activities for Nez Perce Tribal Fisheries Conservation Enforcement, FY2000 (April 2000 - March 2001).

Date	Event	Location	Officer
10/17/00	Cons/Enfc. Presentation to Elementary	Lapwai, ID	Whiteplume
	School Students		
11/20/00	Presentation to Salmon Corps	Lapwai, ID	Villavicencio
	workshop.	(City Hall)	Disp. Whitman
10/15-19/01	Native American Fish & Wildlife	Lincoln City, OR	Scott, WhiteEagle,
	Society Regional Conference		Whiteplume, Oatman,
			Whitman, Wilson
3/13/01	High School Career Day	Lapwai, ID	Oatman, WhiteEagle
3/14-16/01	LCSC Display Booth	Lewiston, ID	Dispatcher Whitman
3/31/01	Tribal Police Boxing Trnmt.	Coeur d'Alene, ID	Oatman, Scott

SECTION 2. Logistical Constraints and Adjustments

This section consists of a brief discussion of any major problems encountered during April 2000 – March 2001; including changes in personnel, work plans, or schedule deviations.

The BPA-NPT conservation enforcement contract (00BI 26422) was signed by Patricia O'Donnell, BPA COR on 6-21-00 and by Samuel N. Penny, Chairman, Nez Perce Tribe Executive Committee (NPTEC) on 6-29-00. Beginning in March 2000, however, pre-contract expenditure of funds – exclusively for conservation officer salaries – was approved by Brad Miller, BPA COTR. Work on the Monitoring and Evaluation component of the conservation Enforcement Project (BPA Project 2000-055-00) was delayed until after the contract was officially in place on June 29, 2000.

Due to rigorous conservation enforcement training requirements, outlined in the previous section, a lag time of at least 6 months is needed before a newly hired law enforcement recruit is transformed into a fully functional certified officer. In order for tribal members to have a real opportunity for employment as NPT conservation enforcement officers, the positions must be offered at the trainee level. That is, a hiring policy that required fully trained and commissioned officers at the entry level would exclude most NPT members that are seeking work. Furthermore, NPT personnel policy requires that hiring of enforcement recruits comply with proper procedures and legal requirements, including tribal preference. Thus, in order to comply with mandated hiring procedures, an initial delay of 1 to 3 months may occur -- from the time funding becomes available until a recruit starts work.

Academy and field training of enforcement officer recruits continued throughout the FY 2000 performance period. The logistical difficulty and up-front investment in hiring, training, mentoring, and keeping high quality law enforcement officers stationed at Lapwai, Idaho is directly related to the need for consistent long-term funding. It is very damaging to the NPT

Conservation Enforcement program cost-effectiveness – from a personnel and training investment standpoint -- when funding is substantially reduced, as it was when BPA funding was withdrawn in FY1998-99.

When funds are severely restricted enforcement departments generally go into a survival mode – protecting core enforcement personnel and patrol priorities. Thus as a result of arbitrary budget cuts experienced in 1998-99, rigorous conservation enforcement data compilation and reporting received a lower priority -- and ultimately the continuity of data time series needed for effective monitoring & evaluation was lost or diminished.

Resignation of Mr. Silas Whitman from the NPT Fisheries Director position (during Quarter 3, 2000) was followed by the interim assignment of acting director duties to Mr. Dave Johnson. Briefing meetings with Mr. Johnson were held to facilitate information exchange and coordination of conservation enforcement issues relative to fisheries management. During Quarter 4, 2000, coordination continued with the newly appointed NPT Fisheries Director and Deputy Director -- Mr. Jaime Pinkham and Mr. Dave Johnson, respectively -- to facilitate information exchange and briefings on conservation enforcement issues relative to fisheries management.

SECTION 3. Planned Activities

This section consists of a short description of planned activities for the following fiscal year's performance period, i.e., April 1 2001 through March 31, 2002.

- (1) Intensified resource protection patrols;
- (2) Office training on field data collection and data base transfer protocols;
- (3) Academy and field training for enforcement officer recruits; and
- (4) Monitoring and evaluation data compilation and analyses.

Tribal fisheries, under the jurisdiction of the Nez Perce Tribe, planned for FY2001 (April 2001 – March 2002) are listed in Table 11.

Table 11. NPT fisheries planned or projected for the fiscal year 2001 performance period, i.e., April 1, 2001 through March 31, 2002; seasons projected for year 2002 are provisional, based on actual seasons that occurred during the previous year.

Location	Species	Date (Open/Close)			
Fisheric	es Starting Quarter 2 (Ap	ril-June) 2001			
Zone6	Sturgeon	April 26-28 2001			
Rapid River	Steelhead / Bull trout	Closed May 9, 2001			
Rapid River	Chinook	June 8-27, 2001			
Tunnel Ponds	Trout	April-October 31, 2001			
Within NPT Treaty	Bull trout	Closed: May 2, 2001			
1855 ICC	(Dolly Varden)				
Clearwater within 1855	Chinook Salmon	April 21 to August 5, 2001			
Boundaries					
Fisheries S	Starting Quarter 3 (July-				
Zone 6	Sturgeon, Chinook	September 1 to			
	Salmon, Steelhead, Walleye, and Shad	September 8, 2001			
		September 11 to			
		September 15, 2001			
		September 25 to			
		September 29, 2001			
Fisheries St	arting Quarter 4 (Octobe	er-December) 2001			
John Day Pool Only	Sturgeon	October 2 – 31, 2001			
Clearwater River	Steelhead (fall)	October 1 – December 31, 2001			
Fisheries S	Starting Quarter 1 (Janua	ary-March) 2002			
Zone6	Sturgeon	January – March 2002			
Clearwater River	Steelhead	January – April 2002			
Clearwater River	Salmon	March – July 2002			
Snake River	Salmon	March – July 2002			
Imnaha River	Salmon	March – July 2002			
Tucannon River	Salmon	March – July 2002			
Rapid River	Chinook	March – August 2002			
Zone6	Salmon	March – April 2002			

SECTION 4. Non expendable property

This section provides an itemized list of Non-expendable property (cost greater than \$3,000) procured under this contract and each designated sensitive item purchased during the FY 2000 performance period, i.e., April 2000 - March 2001.

Quarter 2, 2000:

Non-expendable property and sensitive items purchased from April 1 through June 31, 2000:

- 1. Non-expendable property: a VHF radio repeater w/hardware, installed on Cottonwood Butte total cost: \$4,350.00.
- 2. One sensitive item was purchased during this period -- a radio: TK 760 gk w/scrambler costing \$570.00.

Quarter 3, 2000:

- 1. No non-expendable property was purchased during July September 2000.
- 2. Five pieces of equipment potentially classified as "sensitive items" were purchased during this period:

(One) TK 7606 vhf mobile radio	\$485.00
(One) TK 8606 uhf mobile radio	\$510.00
(One) scrambler for vhf radio	\$150.00
(One) office high back chair	\$299.00
(One) backless office chair & shipping	\$324.50
	(One) TK 7606 vhf mobile radio (One) TK 8606 uhf mobile radio (One) scrambler for vhf radio (One) office high back chair (One) backless office chair & shipping

Quarter 4, 2000:

- 1. No non-expendable property was purchased during October December 2000.
- 2. Likewise, no equipment classified as "sensitive" was purchased during this period.

Ouarter 1, 2001:

- 1. No non-expendable property was purchased during January March 2001.
- 2. Likewise, no equipment classified as "sensitive" was purchased during this period.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. FY2000 performance period NPT conservation law enforcement statistics, April 2000 - March 2001.

Category	Jan '00	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep.	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan '01	Feb	Mar
Citations	7.00	2.00	14.00	9.00	12.00	14.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	10.00	13.00	3.00	3.00	7.00	6.00
Verbal Warnings	2.00	0.00	6.00	4.00	8.00		0.00	0.00	14.00	8.00	3.00	0.00	9.00	11.00	8.00
Written Warnings	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	2.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	1.00
Vehicle Checks	0.00	0.00	1.00	3.00			10.00		6.00	10.00	8.00	0.00	1.00	7.00	6.00
ID Checks	6.00	0.00	1.00	24.00	17.00	19.00	13.00	2.00	8.00	2.00	4.00	0.00	24.00	10.00	0.00
Tribal Contacts			39.00			287.00							41.00		
Non-Tribal Contacts			25.00	45.00	18.00					17.00	25.00	13.00	30.00	45.00	48.00
Vehicle Patrol 1K	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.50	14.50	1.00	7.00	19.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	8.00	7.50	6.00
Boat Patrol 1K	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	8.50	0.00	8.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vehicle Patrol 1L				158.00									149.00	195.00	243.00
Boat Patrol 1L		0.00		0.00		40.75				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vehicle Patrol 1M		7.00		4.00	99.25	39.50	17.50	8.75	0.00	9.50	7.50	5.00	0.00	9.50	24.50
Boat Patrol 1M		0.00		0.00			1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vehicle Patrol 1N		0.00							46.40		0.00		83.50		10.00
Boat Patrol 1N	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.50	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vehicle Patrol 1P		2.00		0.00			6.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Boat Patrol Other		0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vehicle Patrol Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	5.75	0.00

Appendix 1. FY2000 performance period NPT conservation law enforcement statistics, April 2000 - March 2001. (continued)

Category		Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep.	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
	'00												'01		
Surveillance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Inter-Agency	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	2.00	0.00	4.50	13.50	1.00	0.00	6.00	0.00	0.50	0.50
Commercial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Ceremonial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	5.00	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Subsistence	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.25	31.50	11.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Investigation	0.00	20.75	42.00	17.75	7.50	2.00	2.00	10.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	3.50
Public Assist	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	4.00	1.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	3.50
Reports/Data Entry	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	56.00	54.00	100.00	89.00	63.00	104.00	85.00	55.00	82.00	69.00	70.00
Training	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.00	48.00	94.00	150.50	16.00	0.00	200.00	40.00	80.00	0.00	34.40	21.50
Office/Admin.	106.50)79.00	90.75	12.50	87.50	64.00	41.25	24.50	33.25	90.00	60.00	55.00	21.40	10.50	7.00

Area Codes & Descriptions: 1K Snake River below Lewiston

1L Clearwater River

1M Snake River above Lewiston

1N Salmon River

1P Grande Ronde River

1Q Imnaha River

Appendix 2. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Enforcement Department, April 2000 - March 2001.

Appendix Table 2.1. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Enforcement Department, Quarter 2: April 1 through June 31, 2000.

Location	Species	Date (Open/Close)
Clear Creek	Chinook	Closure: June 17, 2000; 12:00 AM
All Fisheries within the Nez Perce 1855 Treaty/ICC Area	Bull Trout	Closure: June 8, 2000
Selway River	Spring Chinook	Closure: June 17, 2000; 12:00 AM
Rapid River	Chinook	Closure: May 24, 2000; 12:00 PM
John Day Pool	Sturgeon	Remains open until 6:00 PM July 31, or when the catch guideline of 1,160 in the John Day Pool is reached.

Appendix Table 2.2. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Enforcement Department, Quarter 3: July-September 2000.

Location	Species	Date (Open/Close)
ZONE 6	Shad, Sturgeon, Steelhead, Coho, Walleye	September 28, 2000
ZONE 6	Steelhead, Walleye, Shad, Salmon (Chinook, Coho), Sturgeon	6:00 AM September 19 to September 23 6:00 PM (2000)
ZONE 6	Steelhead, Walleye, Shad, Salmon (Chinook, Coho), Sturgeon	6:00 AM September 12 to September 16 6:00 PM (2000)
ZONE 6	Steelhead, Walleye, Shad, Salmon (Chinook, Coho), Sturgeon	6:00 AM August 30 to September 2 6:00 PM (2000)
ZONE 6	Steelhead, Walleye, Shad, Salmon (Chinook, Coho), Sturgeon	6:00 AM September 5 to September 9 6:00 PM (2000)
John Day Pool	Sturgeon	6:00 AM August 8 to August 20 6:00 PM (2000)
South Fork Salmon River	Chinook	Closure: August 2, 2000
Imnaha River	Chinook	Closure: August 2, 2000
Rapid River	Chinook	Closure: July 10, 2000; 12:00 AM
ZONE 6 Bonneville, The Dalles, John Day	Sockeye	6:00 AM July 1 to July 10 6:00 PM (2000)
John Day Pool	Sturgeon	Remains open until 6:00 PM July 31, or when the catch guideline of 1,160 in the John Day Pool is reached.

Appendix Table 2.3. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Enforcement Department, Quarter 4: October-December 2000.

Location	Species	Date (Open/Close)
John Day Pool Only	Sturgeon	10/02/00- 10/31/00
Clearwater River	Steelhead (fall)	10/01/00 - 12/30/01

Appendix Table 2.4. Fishing seasons enforced by the Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Enforcement Department, January-March 2001.

Location	Species	Date (Open/Close)
Zone6	Sturgeon	01/01/01-03/14/01
Clearwater River	Steelhead	01/01/01-04/30/01
Clearwater River	Salmon	03/21/01-07/10/01
Snake River	Salmon	03/21/01-07/10/01
Imnaha River	Salmon	03/21/01-07/10/01
Tucannon River	Salmon	03/21/01-07/10/01
Zone6	Salmon	03/21/01-04/15/01

Appendix 3. Training courses and academies for Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Enforcement personnel, January 2000 - March 2001.

,	Training Starting in Quarter 1 (Ja	anuary-March) – Yo	ear 2000					
Dates	Course/Training	Location	Status	Officer				
1-9 to 3-31- 00	Land Management Basics	Glynco, GA-Fed	Completed	F-03, 06				
2-7 to 2-8-00	Firearms	Lapwai, ID (Range).	Completed	F-01, 4, 5, 7				
2-28 to 3-3- 00	IPA Dispatch Academy	Artesia, N.M.	Completed	F-21, 22				
	Training Starting in Quarter 2	(April-June) – Year	2000					
5-15 to 5-16- 00	Powerphone Dispatch Training	Caldwell, ID.	Completed	F-21, 22				
6-8 to 6-10- 00	F&G Enforcement.	Duluth, MN.	Canceled	F-01				
Training Starting in Quarter 3 (July-September) – Year 2000								
Dates	Course/Training	Location	Status	Officer				
7-10 to 7-11- 00	Hazmat Awareness	Lapwai, ID.	Completed	F1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 20				
7-17-00	Employee Awareness	Lapwai, ID.	Completed	F-3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 21, 22				
7-21-00	Supervisory Training	Lapwai, ID.	Completed	F-01, 20				
7-28 to 7-30- 00	Scuba Diving (Basic)	Lewiston, ID.	Completed	F-4, 6, 20				
T	raining Starting in Quarter 4 (Oct	tober-December) – `	Year 2000					
Dates	Course/Training	Location	Status	Officer				
10-09 to 10- 11-23-00	Mixed Basic Police	Glynco,GA-Fed.	In Progress	F-07				
10-23 to 10- 26-00	Search & Rescue (NASAR)	Lewiston, ID.	In Progress	F-01, 3, 4, 5, 6				
	Training Starting in Quarter 1 (Ja			0.00				
Dates 01/10/01	Course/Training ILETS Software training	Location Lewiston, ID	Status Complete	Officer Whitman, Oatman, Wilson				

02/1/01	CPR Training	Lapwai, ID	Complete	Whitman, Oatman, Wilson, White- Eagle, Villa- vicencio
02/05/01 - 05/15/01	Basic EMT training	Lapwai, ID	Ongoing	White- Eagle, Oatman, Wilson